

(60)

Mont 14 May 1839. 000087

My dear Sir,

You will perceive by the enclosed letter  
that I lost no time in replying to your valued favour  
of the 21 April - I intended to have sent it by Mr.  
C. Brewster of your city but trusted him, and have  
had it lying by me since - Like yourself I have so  
little confidence in our post office that I seldom  
send political letters in that way - With regard to the  
C & T Revenue, I still think that if the Imperial govt. would  
honestly expend the money in promoting public improve-  
ments it would be as good an arrangement as we could  
make - It is to me & several other Reformers here a doubtful  
question whether the proceeds of lands belongs strictly to the  
Local legislature & the analogous case of the States is against  
us - My principal objection however is, that they won't  
give us up the revenue except conditionally, and part of  
the condition here is, to charge the revenue with salaries  
of H. Lafontaine Esq.

to parts of different religious bodies. Who then become  
Agents of the government and absolutely a curse to  
the country. I feel certain too, that if we ever had  
responsible government as in England without disqualification  
bill, we should be a very short time other way  
things, we have ever asked. I am delighted to hear  
of the non-attendance of the Judges. Did you ever  
see the article in the Evening on their case? It was  
never replied to & the Herald is ready enough to catch  
at me when he feels himself able. Our House  
did better than we expected bad as it is. And consider  
ing that Prof. Duncumb Gibson Storrs all strong  
Reform countries were misrepresented by Ultra Tories who  
cried in when the people were afraid to go to the poll  
The Compulsory Bill appointing Webb & Robinson (Chiefs) to  
be Commissioners in England to represent us was thrown  
out despite all the first influence by a majority  
of one. The Casual & Territorial Reserve bill was  
amended by striking out the committee to present

it was in Committee moved for the Royal assent - The  
Army Reserve bill was in dispute the whole session  
& the liberals were only in a minority of one or two -  
The Tories could not little as they wished but in Friday  
night after 12 - Within less than 24 hours of the close  
of the session, in a short house, 3 strong reformers having  
gone home most improppably that day, the tiny measure  
unwarranted carried by a majority  
of one & thus owing to the defection

of two members who changed sides - One of them was  
in the following day gazetted Colonel of Militia! Under  
these circumstances the Tories have no great cause for  
trumpeting considering it is Sir F Head's loyal house - Small  
motion for suspensible fort. failed of course - 5 members  
not belonging to our old Reform party voted with us &  
may be depended upon future - We are certain of success  
at the next elections - I observe the Herald's remark about  
the constituencies - That party must go down. The Liverpool  
to us, and it would appear that nothing will be done for Canada  
at present. Nova Scotia will help us out <sup>Archives de la Ville de Montréal</sup> &  
and her delegates - The Colonial Gazette published in London takes a

play libidinous vices of politics overriding the quarter  
from which emanates thence the London Executive  
but it must be getting sick of their own policy & yet  
they hardly know what to do - I notice your invitation  
to me to introduce any of our reform friends who  
may visit Montreal which I shall feel pleasure  
in doing. I wish I could go there myself, but I  
am completely tied down. I shall be at all times  
happy to hear from you mean time remain

Yours

Your faithful & obedient son

Heck

9th May 1839

H. Heck  
London