

Recu 17/2/54
L.P.

115 pp = \$ 34.50

PROVINCE DE QUEBEC
DISTRICT DE MONTREAL

COUR SUPERIEURE

NO. 3,000

REUBEN LEVESQUE et al

Requérant

Ex-parte

Déposition de:

^{JOSEPH}
SAM SHULKIN

7 mars 1951

AIME GRANDMAISON

Sténographe officiel.

ARCHIVES MUNICIPALES
MONTREAL
MUNICIPAL ARCHIVES

Copy

CANADA

PROVINCE OF QUEBEC

DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

SUPERIOR COURT

Case no: 3,000.

Reuben Levesque & Al,

requérants ex parte.

Under the presidency of Hon. Justice F. Caron

Lawyers: Mr. Pacifique Plante,
Mr. Jean Drapeau.
Mr. Ubald Boisvert.
Mr. Ed. Masson, Q. C.
Mr. J. Ahern, Q.C.
Mr. S. Cohen, Q.C.

In the year of Our Lord 1951, personally came
and appeared:

JOSEPH SHULKIN,
aged 43, printer, residing at 1125 Lajoie St.;
whom, after being sworn in, doth depose and
say:

Examined by Mr. Plante:

Q Mr. Shulkin?

A Yes. I refuse to answer any question that may incriminate me.

BY THE COURT:

I order you to answer and you will be given all the protection that the Law can give you.

THE WITNESS:

If you order me, I will.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q What is your occupation?

A Printer. Linotype operator.

Q How long have you been in that kind of business?

A How long...

Q Yes, how long?

THE WITNESS:

In the printing business?

Q Yes?

A About 13 years.

Q Will you tell us what type of printing you are doing?

A Yes...

Q What type?

- A Newspaper.
- Q Newspaper?
- A Yes.
- Q What kind of paper?
- A Sport paper.
- Q What is the name of your sport paper?
- A Sports Daily.
- Q Sports Daily?
- A Yes.
- D Did that paper change its name ?
- A It had different names two or three times,
since I have printed it.
- D In 1941 what was the name of your Sports Paper?
- A Montreal Sports Daily.
- Q How long did you keep that name? Two years,
three years?
- A About four years.
- Q Why did you change the name of your paper?
- THE WITNESS:
- Why?
- Q Yes?
- A Well, the owner who had the paper stopped the
paper.
- Q That is why you changed the name?
- A No, it stopped about one year.

Q When did it stop for about one year?

A 1947.

Q 1947?

A Yes.

Q Are you the only one interested in the printing of the Sports Daily?

A Yes. I got the contract to print it.

Q In 1941 were you alone?

THE WITNESS:

No. In 1941?

Q Yes.

A I was... yes, I was alone.

Q You were alone?

A Yes.

Q You say you had a contract?

A Yes.

Q To print the paper?

A Yes.

Q With whom did you have the contract?

A With General News Bureau.

Q With whom did you deal?

A Hector Cadieux.

Q Cadieux is dead now?

A Yes.

Q How long has he been dead?

A About 6, 7, 8 years.

Q About 8 years?

A Yes. 6, 7 years, something like that.

Q Do you know if Hector Cadieux at some time had interest in common with somebody else? Did he have another partner?

A Not that I know of.

Q No partner?

A No.

Q What was Cadieux' occupation?

THE WITNESS:

Before?

Q Yes? When you dealt with him in 1941?

A He always told that he was a Civil Engineer.

BY THE COURT:

Q Was he interested in gambling?

THE WITNESS:

What do you mean by that?

Q You know what I mean?

A ...

Q I mean: gambling. Was Cadieux interested in gambling?

A I don't know.

Q You know what gambling is?

A Yes.

Q Was he interested in any sort of gambling?

A Yes.

Q Yes?

A Yes. He was putting out the paper.

Q I will repeat my question. Was Cadieux interested in any sort of gambling?

A I don't know, You Honour. How could I say...

Q You don't know?

A How could I say if I don't know. That is the truth.

Q Was he a Civil Engineer?

A Yes.

Q He was?

A He told me.

Q How did you know?

A He told me that.

Q Did you ever see his name advertised as Civil Engineer?

A No.

Q Did you ever go to his place of business?

A No.

Q You never went to his place of business?

A Yes.

Q To his home?

A Yes. He had the General News Bureau upstairs.

Q Did it look like the office of a Civil Engineer?

A No.

Q Did you ever see any advertisement to the effect that he was a Civil Engineer?

A No.

Q Did he ever look to you as a Civil Engineer or an Engineer of any kind?

A No.

Q Did you hear he was interested in bookmakers?

A Yes.

Q Then he was interested in gambling?

As bookmaker not a gambler?

A No.

Q You understood exactly what I meant when I asked you if he was interested in gambling. I want you to tell the truth and the full truth.

He was connected with gambling?

A Yes.

Q Cadieux was connected with gambling?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Where was Cadieux's place of business?

A On the third floor, 10 Ontario St. West.

Q Room number?

A 321.

Q Was there anything leading to 321?

A Yes.

Q What was it?

A General News Bureau.

Q Did that name remain there as long as Cardieux lived?

THE WITNESS:

As long as he lived?

Q Yes?

A I don't know.

Q Did you have your office there at 10 Ontario St. West?

A Yes.

Q What room?

A 216.

Q 216?

A Yes.

Q And under what business?

A J. Shulkin.

Q J. Shulkin?

A Yes.

Q Did you ever go to room 321?

A Yes.

Q What was the room used for?

A They had the office there.

Q What did he do in the office?

A They had a partition. The partition was closed with a door tight. It was inside the main office.

Q Had you ever gone to the room closed tight?

A Yes.

Q What was going on?

A I saw a man taking the telephone.

Q That is what I am asking. What was going on there?

BY THE COURT:

Q It was a central giving the news about races as they were going on?

A Yes, right.

A And to your knowledge this was connected with many bookmakers?

A Yes, as far as I know.

Q I know it but I never was there.

Mr PLANTE:

Q How did the information came to room 321?

A By telegraph.

Q And then it was released through the telephone branches with the bookmakers in town?

A Well, there was ^a man taking in the telephone.

BY THE COURT:

Q Were there many bookmakers in town?

A Yes, a few.

Q He was releasing them the news?

A Yes.

Q Through the wire?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you know how many gamblers this service of Cadieux was supplying in town in 1941?

THE WITNESS:

In 1941?

Q Yes?

A Maybe about 40, 45.

Q You do not forget your oath at any time?

A Between 40 to 50. About 50.

Q Now we are up to 50?

A Yes.

Q Did Cadieux operate continuously? Did he operate his gambling service, his news service continuously? Was it ever interrupted to your knowledge?

A Yes, once or twice.

Q When?

A I don't know.

Q You don't know?

A I cannot tell you.

Q Was not your business directly connected and depending on Cadieux' business?

A Yes.

Q In 1941 it was not interrupted?

A Between 1941 and 1945 it was, I think, interrupted once or twice.

Q For how long?

A Maybe it was not. I don't know, I know that the newspaper was connected on at that time.

Q The first time this service was interrupted for how long was it?

A It was after 1941.

Q Between 1941 and 1945?

A I cannot say.

BY THE COURT:

Q For a long time?

A Look, Mr. Judge Caron, I know I was putting out the paper from 1941 to 1945. I remember that. We were getting news by telegraph. We were getting anything upstairs down.

Q Did that last long?

A Maybe three months or six months.

Q You know that there were wires?

A Yes.

Q To the best of your recollection?

A Yes.

Q They were releasing the news to the gamblers in town?

A Yes.

- Q The wire was cut off?
- A Yes.
- Q And did not operate?
- A Yes.
- Q Were you receiving your own news through that wire?
- A Yes.
- Q You were using that wire for your press and Cadieux was using it for his service?
- A Yes.
- Mr. PLANTE:
- Q When was it cut off?... When you mentioned your paper, you were referring to the Montreal Sports Daily?
- A Yes.
- Q In fact, it was not interrupted?
- A Yes.
- Q They could get information just the same?
- A Yes.
- Q Did you ever hear of someone by the name of Knish connected with the service?
- A Yes.
- Q Was Knish doing something there? What was he doing?
- A He was transmitting the news.
- Q Did you say he was the operator?

A Not the operator.

Q He was transmitting the news?

A He was the broadcaster.

BY THE COURT:

Q In your office or in Cadieux' office?

A In Cadieux' office. 321.

MR. PLANTE:

Q Did you see many telephones in Cadieux' office in service?

A Yes, there were.

Q There were many telephones. You could see that if you entered the room?

A Yes.

Q Were they displayed on a table?

A Yes.

Q How many telephones?

A 15 or 20.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did the bookies had direct lines with Cadieux?

A I don't know. I know I used to see him dial.

Q Were there many operators in Cadieux' office?

A I think there were two for telephones.

Q Only two?

A Yes.

Q There were only two men for 50 gamblers?

A Yes. They used to dial, to make two or three calls.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Do you know if there was a switch to the right of the operator which connected all telephones to his telephone?

A No.

Q You do not know about technicalities?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did you hear Knish or other operators give races reports for ~~raids~~?

A Yes. When I was going for my copy.

Q Were they giving them at the same time when they were coming in through the wire?

A Yes.

Q So do you think that all customers had to be connected at the same time?

A No, they used to hang up and call again.

Q How long did it take to give results of 5 or 6 races?

A I don't know.

Q It would take a full day?

A Yes.

Q You were connected in business with Cadieux?
Through whom?

A ...

Q Is it not a fact that gamblers were receiving
results of the races as races were going on?

A They were.

Q They were getting their results through Cadieux'
office?

Q Right.

Q They were all getting it at the same time?

A I don't know.

Q Did the gamblers receive the results two hours
after the races when they had service with Ca-
dieux?

A No.

Q Knish was giving results to all of Cadieux'
customers?

A Yes.

Q Did you know, do you know that Cadieux' custo-
mers were receiving the results of the races
as the races were progressing?

A Right.

Q There were about 40 or 50 customers receiving
the same results at the same time. Right?

A Right.

Q These 40 or 50 customers were receiving the same results at the same time from the same office, from Cadieux' office. Right?

A Right.

Q Always as the race was progressing?

A Yes.

Q So Cadieux was giving results of the races to all his customers at the same time.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Do you know how long these telephones remained in view in the room?

THE WITNESS:

About to the last time?

Q Yes?

A ...

Q Were they hidden at any time?

A Sometimes I saw them on the table. Sometimes I did not.

Q Where did they go?

A I don't know.

Q You never forget the meaning of your oath?

A They kept the door closed all the time.

Q Are you aware that at one time these phones were hidden in the wall between room 321 and the next room? You are not aware of that?

A No. I saw it in the Standard.

Q Did you see a picture of the set out?

A Yes. At that time it was not Cadieux, it was Pearson. And he did not tell me his business.

Q He did not tell you his business? You swear that on your oath again?

A No. He was not very talkative.

Q Did you look at this paper and say the signature that appears is your signature?

A No.

Q This is not your signature?

A No. It is not.

Q Your signature appears here below?

A Albert Pearson.

Q Do you recognize Pearson's signature?

A ...

BY THE COURT:

Q Answer?

A No.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q You know what this is?

Q A list. We had a phone in our office for circulation.

Q Did you have this?

A Yes, this is for circulation.

Q Is it not a contract with the Bell Telephone Co.?

A Right.

Q Do you see that this contract was made to Montreal Sports Daily?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q If this is not your signature, this is your contract with Bell?

A I used to make for telephone.

Q Is this your contract with Bell?

A No.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you have a contract for MA. 7454?

A Yes, let me explain.

BY THE COURT:

Q Can you explain?

A Cadieux and Pearson are giving me a telephone and they are paying for it. They made out the contract. I never went out at Bell. They paid for the bills. I never paid the bills.

Q So is this a paper connected with the a-

greement made with Bell ?

A Yes, they have, yes.

Q This document that Mr. Plante had shown you was a document relative to the telephone given to you?

A Right.

MR. PLANTE:

Q Will you file this document a E-468, a contract between the Bell Telephone Co. and Montreal Sports Daily?

A Yes.

Q Did you know anybody of the name of J. Shulkin doing the same kind of business with Cadieux and Pearson?

A No. Only myself.

Q Did you authorize anybody to sign your name?

A No.

Q I see by this contract E-468 ... Was this business of yours and Cadieux' a secret?

A No.

Q It was not hidden?

A No.

Q Was it known in town that the service existed?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Was it well known that the service distributed by Cadieux existed?

A Right.

Q I do not mean only the paper that you printed?

A The complete business, yes.

Q The complete business was well known?

A Yes.

Q The wire, the telephone connections between the service station and the bookies, that was well known?

A Right.

Me. PLANTE:

Q I see on this exhibit E-468 that at first the Montreal Sports address was 10 Ontario St. West?

A Yes.

Q Room 216?

A Yes, right.

Q And then 1030 St. Alexandre St.?

A Yes, room 204.

Q Room 204?

A Yes.

Q What was there?

A The print shop.

Q Is that where you printed the Montreal Sports Daily?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q You did not print that paper at 10 Ontario St. West?

A Yes, room 216, downstairs.

Q And did you move your print shop from 10 Ontario St. West to 1030 St-Alexandre?

A Yes, in 1945.

Q In 1945 you moved?

A Yes.

Q Could you tell if there was any special reason for that moving?

A Yes.

Q What was it?

A I went into complete printing business with Gandall Company.

Q Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Arthur Gandall?

A Paul Gandall.

Q Was that the only reason why you moved to 1030 St-Alexandre?

A No.

Q Was it not getting hot at times for gam-

blers?

A No. It was not that. Because the American government stopped racing in 1945 for about 5 or 6 months. That is why I was out of business.

Q From what month to what month in 1945?

A Byrne stopped racing.

Q When? For how long?

A In 1945, 4 or 5 months.

Q From what month to what month?

A I think it is December or January to May.

Q You say December 1945?

A Yes.

Q Up to May 1946?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Was it when the service was interrupted for three months?

A I could not tell you.

Q You told me before that once service was interrupted for a period of approximately three months?

A I did not say the racing, but the service. No. I did not say the service, but the racing. That is what I said.

Q Sometimes a few minutes ago, you said that service was interrupted for two months?

A The racing was interrupted.

Q I am just stating that you said about four minutes ago that service was interrupted for two months?

A Yes.

Q My question: was the service interrupted during the period when racing was interrupted in the United States?

A Well, there was no racing...

Q When you said that the service was interrupted, did you mean that it was interrupted when racing was interrupted?

A Right. So there were no more pages.

Q So it was not the service that was interrupted?

A No, the racing.

Q When you said the service was interrupted...

A I was speaking too fast.

Q I think you are trying to be clever.

About August, about the 10th of August, we were talking about the interruption in service. You said it was interrupted once or twice between 1941 and 1945. And then it was interrupted for about three months.

THE WITNESS:

In 1945?

Q Now we are talking about what you said in regards the stopping of racing in the United States. I am asking you if these two interruptions of the service and the stopping of the races were connected?

A No.

Q No. Why?

A I told you before. It was up to 1941, 1943, 1944. Now we are talking about 1945.

Q Was... when the service was interrupted for something around three months, was it because the races had been stopped in the United States?

THE WITNESS:

You mean in 1945?

Q At any time?

A Well, it was interrupted when they stopped the racing in 1945 in the United States.

Q Was it interrupted besides that?

A Yes, twice.

Q For how long?

A Three months.

Mr. PLANCE:

Q You got the information just the same? The

paper was printed just the same?

A No, it was not printed.

Q In the first period?

A In the first period, yes.

Q You got the results just the same?

A Yes.

Q And you printed your paper just the same?

A Yes.

Q So the service was still running?

A Yes.

Q Do you know of a telephone number LA. 1846.

It is on the Bell contract?

A Yes.

Q The address is Radio Engineering, 2040 Aylmer St.?

A Yes.

Q Will you file this telephone contract as exhibit E-469?

A Yes.

Q The signature is A. Pearson?

A Yes.

Q When Cadieux died, did you continue in the same line of business?

A Yes.

Q With whom did you deal?

A A. Pearson.

Q For A. Pearson?

A No.

- Q How long has he been dead?
- A About three years and a half.
- Q About three years and a half?
- A Yes.
- Q Did he die about the same period that you saw the pictures in the Standard of the premisses at 10 Ontario West?
- A I think he died in 1948.
- Q In 1948?
- A Yes, about that.
- Q In 1946, are you aware that a raid was made, in December?
- A January 4th?
- Q Yes. 1947?
- A Yes.
- Q Are you aware whether Pearson was in good health then?
- A He was living.
- Q Did you state in Court that Pearson was suffering from a heart disease?
- A No.
- Q You recollect that?
- A No.
- Q Were you asked that question in any Court?
- A No.
- Q Under what firm the name of Pearson appeared?

A Montreal Sports Daily. Then there was
Radio news.

A At 321 , 10 Ontario East?

A Yes.

Q How long did Pearson operate at room 321,
10 Ontario St. West?

THE WITNESS:

How long?

Q Yes?

THE WITNESS:

You mean after he took, after Cadieux?

Q Yes?

A About three, four years.

Q Coming back to the interruption of the
races in the United States, do you mean
to say that all races were interrupted,
all over the United States of America?

A Yes, sir.

Q For a period of six months?

A About that: five or six months.

Q Was it not three months?

A I think it was interrupted from December or
January up to May.

Q January to may, that is six months?

A Approximately.

Shulkin

Q Were the races being run in Canada?

A No racing in Winter.

Q There was no racing in May?

A No, not in Canada,

Q Not in Vancouver?

A I doubt it very much. I don't know.

Q It is possible?

A Maybe.

Q In the Western Provinces?

A Yes.

Q This address that appears on E-469, 2040 Aylmer St., have you been there?

A No.

Q Do you know that address?

A Yes.

Q What was going on?

A Suppose to have telephones there.

Q Was it a branch of the service?

A Yes.

Q 2040 Aylmer, you were never there?

A No.

Q And that was under the ownership of Pearson?

A Yes, right.

Q Will you look at this Bell Contract for LA.
4355?

A Yes.

D The contract bears the signature A. Pearson
to Radio Engineering Service?

A Yes.

Q 10 Ontario St. West?

A Yes.

Q And it is for 2040 Aylmer?

A Yes.

Q And then?

A Back to 10 Ontario West.

Q Back to 10 Ontario West?

A Yes.

Q Will you file...

BY THE COURT:

J'accepte cette preuve et je permets la pro-
duction de ces contrats E-417; 17 documents
concernant les téléphones à 10 Ontario ouest
et à 2040 Aylmer.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you know Hector Cadieux' signature?

A Yes.

Q Is that his signature?

A Yes.

Q I am showing exhibit E-461; LA. 5870. Is that
Cadieux' signature also?

Q Yes.

Q For MA. 3416?

A Yes, right.

Q What was your contract between Hector Cadieux and yourself?

A A verbal contract to print a newspaper.

Q To whose benefit were you printing?

A For General News Bureau.

Q This was Cadieux' business?

A Right.

Q It was not done to your benefit?

A No.

Q You were working on a salary basis or on commission?

A He gave me so much a week.

Q How much a week?

A \$50.00 a week.

Q Did he pay the rent?

A Yes.

Q You did not pay him the telephone?

A No.

Q Did you pay business taxes?

A Yes.

Q Yourself?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q It was not Cadieux?

A No. I paid the business taxes and the rent.

Q Water?

A Well, water, business taxes...

Q And you were paying yourself?

A Yes.

Mr. BELMTE:

Q Did you have a brother Barney Shulkin?

A Yes.

Q Who workes for Harry Feldman?

A Yes.

Q At 286 Ste. Catherine St. West?

A Yes.

Q That was a bookie?

A Yes.

Q He died recently?

A Yes.

Q Who took care of the distribution in the City
of Montreal on this Montreal Sports Daily?

A I did for a while.

Q Did you do that personally?

A My brother was helping me.

Q Your brother was helping you?

A Yes.

Q Was this sheet sold all over town?

Shulkin

A Most of it. On news stands...

Q Through regular channels where they sell newspapers?

A Right.

Q Will you look at this paper and say whether it is one copy of the Montreal Sports Daily?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q A complete copy?

A That is a copy of the Montreal Sports Daily.

Q It is one complete issue?

A One copy.

Q One copy?

A Yes, right.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Will you file this copy of the Montreal Sports Daily dated Thursday October 8th 1946? Correct?

A Yes.

Q And bearing number 146?

A Yes.

Q As exhibit E-472?

A Yes.

Q How much did a copy of the Montreal Sports Daily

sell for in 1945?

A Twenty-five cents.

Q How long did it remain at that place?

A Right up to 1947.

Q Up to 1947?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q How many copies? What was your daily distribution?

A About 400, 450.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Was every number serialled?

A No, it is just consecutive.

Q Every one had a number?

A Yes.

Q This copy bears number 146? Correct?

A Yes.

Q Why?

A The number was limited to 450.

Q Was it sold open or sealed?

A ~~Sedale~~ Sealed.

Q What was the purpose of that?

Q When we sold them to newsstands, whenever they did not sel, we took back. They did not pay

Shulkin

for what they did not sell.

BY THE COURT:

Q Don't they do the same with other newspapers?

A Yes.

Q I have never seen the Montreal Sports at the stand?

A No. To open it, you had to tear off the top: you put your finger inside and there it was.

Q Did you not seal this one so that nobody could see the information contained therein? Because, if it were open, people would look at it and put it back there?

A Right.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q This news item...

BY THE COURT:

Q What kind of sports news were you giving?

A There is baseball, hockey, racing.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q At what time did it come on the stand?

Shulkin

A Between 10.30 to 11.30.

Q Every day?

A Not every day. It depends on the season.
Yes, about that.

Q It was coming on time?

A It was time when it came out. The Star was
coming out at 1.30. It came out à 10.30.
The Gazette was at 6 in the morning.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did this paper had any connection with those
tickets sold on baseball games?

A No.

Q On hockey matches?

A No.

Q You know what kind of tickets I mean?

A Lottery tickets...

Q You were against that?

A ...

Q Was it connected exclusively with races?

A Sporting news, races.

Q Anything about big swing events?

A Everything.

Q Tennis?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Shulkin

Q At what time did you furnish the printing,
every day?

THE WITNESS:

What time?

A Yes?

A Same time: 11 o'clock, a quarter to 11.

Q Then you rushed to the stand?

A Yes.

Q This information, which you were selling
at .25, was it news on sporting news or
inside news?

The value for .25, was it not the last minute
news about the jockey, about the condition
of the track?

A Right.

Q That is what you were selling .25?

A Yes.

Q The balance of the Sporting News was just
fitting in?

A Yes, right.

BY THE COURT:

Q Was your paper always published in that
form?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q In the form of E-472?

A Yes.

Q You understand my question?

A Right.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Could anybody get the same information that you were selling .25, like this October 8th 1946? Could anybody in town get the same information?

A Yes.

Q At 11, 11.15. From the Star, The Gazette, Le Canada, Le Devoir or Montreal-Matin, could they get the same information between 11, 11.15?

THE WITNESS:

What do you mean? What paper do you mean?

Q La Presse, Le Devoir, The Star, The Gazette, the Montreal-Matin and Le Canada?

A All those papers were coming out in the afternoon and the Gazette came out in the morning. That news came in after the Gazette came out.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did the Gazette have all that news?

A Some of it.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q At useful moment, at 11 or 11.30?

A Yes.

Q Did anyother newspaper, did any of those legitimate papers have between 11 and 11.30 every news?

A The Gazette came out at six a.m. How can they get information at 11?

Q At what time did the races run?

A Two o'clock.

Q Was it useful to have information about the condition of the track, of the jockey?

A They can get it at 12 o'clock or one o'clock.

Q It is the only paper that could supply it?

A Yes, right.

BY THE COURT:

Q In general, what was the general feature I mean, the principal feature that the morning paper did not have?

Shulkin

Q The morning paper had entries same like we had. They had selections. They picked the probable winner.

Q What news that the morning paper do not have that you have in this paper?

A We have cancellations. Last minute cancellations.

Q Up to the time of your printing?

A Right.

Q Are there cancellations sometimes after that?

A Yes.

Q Changes of jockeys?

A Right.

Q So the best information for betting would be at the track?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q The best bet would be the information from the sheet?

A Right.

BY THE COURT:

Q Next to being to the track this paper is the

best information?

A Yes.

Q Then any gambler in Montreal who could not be at the track, his best possible information would be in buying your paper?

A Yes.

Q Nothing else would be done with intelligent betters?

A Yes.

Q Where betting was done in Montreal, what kind of institution was it?

A Bookmaker.

Q Known bookmakers, well known?

A Different ones.

Q Well known as a rule?

A You had your choice.

Q How many bookmakers did you have that buy that sheet?

A I understand...

Q How many customers hired the services, were getting the service?

A From the service about 50.

Q They were always the same from 1941 to 1945, in general?

A I understand.

Shulkin

Q Did those bookmakers change very much from 1941 to 1945 or were they always the same doing business at the same place during that period?

A They were the same.

Q So to bet at those regular bookmakers, who had their regular place of business, the best thing to do was to bet, to buy your paper?

A Right.

Mr. PLAMBE:

Q What is this here on E-472? It concerns a tip?

A Yes.

Q Where did you get that tip?

A Under the wire, the telegraph wire.

Q Do you know where the wire came from?

A Where we were getting the news.

Q Where was the wire coming from?

A Where we were getting the news.

Q Where was the wire coming from? From the United States?

A Yes.

Q Do you know where it entered the Canadian border?

A It was supposed to be from Chicago.

Q Are you aware that there is a wire from Miami to Chicago? And that it is the main line?

A No.

Q Do you know Frank Erickson?

A I heard of him.

Q What is he?

A A bookmaker.

Q Is he not a top man?

A I don't know.

BY THE COURT:

Q He is a very important man in bookmaking?

A Yes, he was.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Are you aware that he is in jail?

A Yes.

Q Are you aware that he has been an associate...

Mr. BOISVERT:

Objection: c'est du cui-dire. Ce n'est pas la meilleure preuve.

BY THE COURT:

Shulkin

Q Just through your business you know that Erickson was interested in gambling?

A What I read in New York papers, Daily News paper, etc.

Q You never had personal connection with Erickson?

A No, I read the United States paper...

OBJECTION SUSTAINED.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you print something else from... some other sheet for the benefit of the bookmakers?

A Yes.

Q What else did you print?

A Some big sheets.

Q Will you look at this and say what it is?

A Desk sheets.

Q For bookmakers?

A Right.

BY THE COURT:

Q Could they be used by anybody else with any practical purpose?

A No.

Q Did you sell that yourself or was it sold by

Shulkin

Cadieux?

A Sold by Cadieux.

Q And for anything you were doing you were getting \$150.00 a week?

A No, this is only for the paper.

Q You were getting something else for that?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Would you file these desk sheets for bookmakers as E-473?

A Yes.

Q The same information: the name of the horse?

A Yes.

Q The time...

A Yes, of the race.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did you print anything else?

A Yes, big sheets.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q The big sheets?

A Yes.

Q Used by whom?

A By the bookmakers.

Q For what purpose?

A They put them on the wall.

Q They were used as information came in for service?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did you print anything else?

A No.

Q Tickets?

A No.

Mr. PLAMBE:

Q Did you print some pads?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q Ledger sheets for their bookmaking?

A No.

Q Did you operate only with the bookmakers open to the public or did you operate with those doing business only by telephone?

A I used to operate if for a company: General News Bureau.

Q You distributed them?

A I distributed the Daily News Paper, the other paper, the big sheets. I printed it with

Shulkin

General News.

Q You had nothing else to do after it was delivered to General News Bureau?

A Yes.

Q Where did you deliver that?

A To the stands.

Q Did you deliver that yourself or did you deliver that to bookmakers that were not open to the public?

A No.

Q You know that there are some bookmakers who worked with the telephone?

A No.

Q Do you know some of them in Montreal to your knowledge?

A I don't know.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Do you know how much these sheets were selling?

THE WITNESS:

How much they were selling?

Q You know? The desk sheets?

A Between \$10.00 to \$15.00 a week.

Shulkin

Q It was a flat rate for a bookmaker?

A Yes.

Q Will you look at this document and say whether you recognize this document?

A Yes.

Q What is it?

A This is a list of bookmakers, with phone numbers and address.

Q A list of clients?

A Yes.

Q Will you file this list of clients as exhibit E-474?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Is it a complete list?

A As far as I know, yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q That would be the list of your clients for what year?

A I don't know.

BY THE COURT:

Q Every one of the clients mentioned on that list was buying your desk sheets and your big sheets?

Shulkin

A Yes, I suppose so.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did they buy copies of the Montreal Sports
Daily also?

A I think so.

BY THE COURT:

Q Personally your big business was not the
printing of the paper?

A No.

Q You did not work very much?

A Well, the big sheets, it was not printed all
the time.

Q The price: \$12.00 to \$15.00 a week?

A You don't understand me.

Q Maybe.

A Mr. Plante asked how much the bookmakers were
charged for a set. It was \$10.00 to \$15.00
a week.

Q Do you mean that every bookmaker had to pay
your desk sheets and big sheets, had to pay
you \$12.00 to \$15.00?

A To pay the Company.

Q What is the Company?

A General News Bureau.

Q Were you not selling taht yourself?

A No, for General News Bureau.

Q The sheets?

THE WITNESS:

What sheets?

Q The big sheets and the Desk sheets?

A General News, and they were selling for
\$10.00 to \$15.00.

Q You were getting something from it?

A \$125.00.

Q A week?

A Yes. That was not stealing.

Q Did you deliver that yourself?

A No.

Q Who was delivering them to the bookmakers?

A Don. Greenberg.

Q It was leaving your place?

A Yes.

Q To go directly to the bookmakers?

A Yes.

Q What quantity were the bookmakers taking
of those desk sheets and big sheets for
an order?

Shulkin

A They took one set of each.

Q How many did you print?

THE WITNESS:

How many did I print?

Q Yes?

A About 60 sets.

Q How many did the bookmakers buy at one time?

How many sheets did they buy at one time?

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did they buy the desk sheets and the big sheets?

A Yes, that is the average.

Q What is a set?

A It is four like that.

Q Four similar sheets?

A Not four similar. It is different tracks.

One set: there are 4 or 5 tracks.

BY THE COURT:

Q You have filed one set of desk sheets. It is composed of 9 sheets?

A No. Five, six the most. Suppose to be 5 tracks going: we give him a set of 5.

Shulkin

Q Did you examine this document E-473. You have there 9 identical sheets?

A Right.

Q Do the bookmakers buy only one of those a day of the ones you have there?

A Yes, one set.

Q One of those?

A They get one set, yes. They get 5 sheets. If they have 5 tracks running, if there are 5 tracks running, they get 5 sheets.

Q So, they buy only one sheet for one track or many copies for one track?

A They buy one set.

Q What would one set comprise?

A You get 5 tracks for 5 sheets.

D Did they get 5 sheets of paper?

A Right.

Q Or a few sheets for another track, 3 or 4 sheets for one track?

A Yes. Some would buy one, some would buy two sets.

Q The set was composed of only one sheet for each time?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q How much was the set of those sheet desks?

A \$8.00. a set.

Q Every day?

A No. For a week.

Q A whole week?

A Yes.

Q The set is good for a whole week?

A No. A set a day.

Q They pay \$8.00, sometimes \$15.00?

A NO, it is another one.

Q The big sheets would be \$15.00 a week?

A Yes, \$12.00, \$15.00.

Q Just for the big sheets?

A Yes.

Q So, a good gambler ought to pay about
\$20.00 a week? Just for his sheets?

A Right.

Q It was besides the service?

A Right.

Q How much was it a week?

THE WITNESS:

How much?

Q How much, yes?

A It depends: sometimes \$40.00, sometimes
\$45.00

BY THE COURT:

Q Between \$40.00 and \$50.00, would that be correct?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q A week?

A A week.

(Afternoon)

BY THE COURT:

Q So the total of expenses to be paid by the bookmaker for service and the sheets would amount approximately to \$70.00?

A Yes.

Q Every one of those appearing on the list was paying... on the list E-474, was paying approximately this amount?

A Yes, sir.

Q A week?

A Right.

Q So approximately the total paid for service by bookmakers a week would be approximately \$3,500.00?

Q Well...

Q How much was your rent for your office?

A \$45.00 a week.

Q A week?

A A month.

Q Was your office much bigger or smaller than the one occupied by Caidaux?

A Smaller.

Q In what proportion?

A About one quarter.

Q When I say your office, I mean your local?

A Yes, about one quarter.

Q Your printing shop?

A Yes.

Q About one quarter smaller than Cadieux' office?

A Right.

Q How much did you pay your brother?

THE WITNESS:

My brother?

A Yes.

A \$45.00.

Q A week?

A Yes.

Q You do not know anything, I suppose, about the salaries paid by Cadieux or Pearson?

A No.

Q To the best of your knowledge he had two employees?

A Right.

Q Coming back to the list E-474, do you know those bookmakers very well yourself?

A NO.

Q You were selling your sheets to them?

A There was a man, Greenberg, used to pass and deliver the sheets.

Q For whom was that man working?

A For Pearson.

Q But you had payments yourself about your sheets?

A Yes.

Q Did you say that you were selling your sheets to Cadieux and Pearson?

A Yes.

Q Who were reselling them to the bookmakers?

A Right.

Q You had nothing to do personally and directly with bookmakers?

A No.

Q How much was Cadieux giving you for those sheets a week?

THE WITNESS:

Which sheets?

Q Desk sheets and big sheets?

A \$125.00.

Q For the two?

A Yes.

Q For everything that you were printing and delivering to him?

A Right.

Q For all the sheets, desk sheets and big sheets, that you were printing for Cadieux and delivering?

A \$125.00 for sheets, and \$150.00 for the paper that goes on the newstands.

Q \$125.00 for the sheets and \$150.00 for the paper?

A Yes.

Q Do you know what was the number of your issues sold every day, in average?

THE WITNESS:

Newspapers?

Q Yes?

A About 400 a day.

Q About 400 a day?

A Yes.

Q Do you know how much the paper was sold to the stands?

A .18.

Q And Cadieux was collecting that?

A Right.

Q Are you absolutely positive that Cadieux or Pearson never had more than two employees?

Q Two and the one who was delivering the sheets, about three employees.

Q Two working in the office...

A Two: one employee delivering and one employee for the telegraph.

Q Besides that? Besides him?

A Yes.

Q So, four employees altogether?

A Yes.

Q Do you know personally who was Albert at 5878 Park Avenue?

A No.

Q Albert at 1146 Mont-Royal St. East?

A No.

Q Do you know if this was the same bookie

Shulkin

or the same business concern operating
at two addresses?

A I think it was the same.

Q Archenoff: 126 Beaubien East? Armand:
5014 Decarie Boulevard?

A I don't know.

Q Baker & Baker: 1221 Peel St. and 486 St.
Catherine? Was that the same man?

A I think so.

Q Cohen & Cohen: 1208 St. Lawrence and 1249
Inspector: was that the same man?

A No.

Q Did you know Cleaver?

A No.

Q Did you ever hear of him?

A Yes, I did.

Q Where did he operate?

A He was on Peel St., I think.

Q You are right. Detner?

THE WITNESS:

Who?

Q Detner?

A No.

Q Davies?

A No.

Q Never heard of Davies, 1244 Stanley?

A Yes.

Q Were Davies and Cleaver very well known in bookmaking or gambling?

A Yes.

Q Do you know who was Dick?

THE WITNESS:

Who?

Q Myer Dick?

A Yes.

Q Was he an associate of Sam Feldman?

A I think so.

Q Feldman: corner of Peel and St. Catherine?

A Right.

Q When you say you do not know the two Albert, at the beginning, you know Albert Rasinovich?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q Do you know Foshay?

A No.

Q 4423 St. Lawrence?

Shulkin

A No.

Q Feldman was operating at 286 St. Catherine
"est?

A Yes.

Q Did you know Foster?

A No.

Q 1246 Mc Gill?

A No.

Q The Greek, who was that?

A I don't know.

Q Did you know anybody operating under the
name of the Greek, at 2020 Mount-Royal East?

A No.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Danny Karis?

A No.

Q You did not know Danny Karis?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q Have you heard of him?

A Yes.

Q Did you hear of him as being Danny the Greek?
Or Karis?

THE WITNESS:

Shulkin

The Greek?

Q Yes? You do not know the other name?

A No.

Q Lawand: did you know that?

A Yes, I know him.

Q Lovell an St. Urbain St.?

A I know him, yes.

Q Ludger, do you know who was that?

A Yes.

Q Who was he?

THE WITNESS:

What is his name?

Q Yes?

A Audet.

Q Where did he operate? Do you know?

A On St. Hubert St.

Q Or St. Denis?

A Yes, or St. Denis.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did he not operate on St. Hubert St? 6562 St.
Hubert St.?

A Well, I don't know.

BY THE COURT:

Shulkin

Q Lamer, did you know that? L - A - M - E - R ?

A No.

Q On St. Catherine St. East, 1017?

A No.

Q Paquette?

THE WITNESS:

Paquette?

Q Yes?

A No.

Q On St. James St.?

A No.

Q T. Rheaume on Ontario St.?

A No.

Q Rhea?

A No.

Q On Ontario St.?

A No.

Q Singer?

THE WITNESS:

Singer?

Q On Mount. Royal St.?

A No.

Q Shapiro?

A No.

Q Drummond Building?

A No.

Q Never heard of Shapiro?

A I heard of him.

Q You never met him?

A No.

Q Did you know him through general reputation as being interested in that place, 800 Drummond Building?

A No.

Q Do you know what Shapiro was doing?

A I just heard of him. I never spoke to him.

Q You heard of him as doing what, as being what?

A I just heard around the streets people talking about him.

Q As being a merchant or a gambler?

A Well...

Q I am asking you?

A I just heard it around. That is all.

Q You heard that name as being connected with religious organizations or connected with betting?

A Connected with betting.

Q Was the name well known in betting circles?

A I just heard around.

Shulkin

Q I am asking you if he was well known in betting circles?

A I was a printer. I was printing the sheets. And a man was coming in to deliver them. I was at the printing shop.

Q Was he well known in the betting circles, Shapiro?

A I heard of him, that is all.

Q Ship?

A I heard of him.

Q Do you know for how many years Cadieux operated that business?

A I was doing business with him from 1935.

Q Approximately always on the same conditions?

A Yes.

Q Or the same terms?

A Yes.

Q Was he a wealthyman?

A I don't know. I know he had his house on Hartland Avenue.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Do you know if that \$45.00 a week was high top at a certain period for service?

A That is about the average.

Q Are you aware that at one time the service

Shulkin

cost \$100.00 a week?

A No.

Q At no time?

A No.

Q Are you aware whether or not the amount of money which was paid for service enclosed some other kind of service?

A No.

Q Some other kind of obligation?

A No.

Q Protection?

A No.

Q You are not aware of that?

A No.

Q You never heard of that?

A No.

Q You have lived 15 years among gamblers and you never heard of that?

A No.

Q Are you aware that operating a service as such for information, the exclusive information for bookmakers, were you aware that it was against the Criminal Code of Canada, that it was illegal?

Shulkin

Were you aware that operating such a service as Cadieux and Pearson did was illegal, was a crime?

A No.

Q You were not aware of that?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q Your answer is no?

A No.

Mr. PEARSON:

Q Were you aware that at least two cases were made against him in 1942 and 1944?

A I was aware, yes.

Q How is it that you are aware that two cases were made by criminal officers and that you say that you did not know that it was against the law? How can you explain that?

A You say that they were aware...

Q There was one conviction in 1942?

A And another one was made.

Q How about a first conviction, the first conviction?

A I don't know.

Shulkin

Q And you maintain on oath that you do not know that it is against the law?

A I am not a lawyer.

Q Are you aware that operating a bookie is against the law?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Are you aware that helping anybody to commit a crime makes you a criminal?

A Yes.

Q You were helping the bookies to commit their crimes?

THE WITNESS:

By printing the paper?

Q Yes, by helping their business? Were you?

A Yes.

Q Was Cadieux helping them too?

A Yes.

Q And you were helping Cadieux?

A Right.

Q So you were all... I won't say the word.

Mr. GROSS:

The sheets were legal, as said Recorder Paquette.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Was your business interrupted following that raid of January 4th 1947?

A Yes.

Q It was?

A Yes.

Q For how long?

A Through March 22nd 1949.

Q Following that raid your business was completely disrupted?

A For two years.

Q Are you aware if the apparatus that was at 10 Ontario, room 321, was taken by the Bell Telephone: the wire apparatus, the amplifier?

A Yes.

Q It was a clean sweep?

A Yes.

A At that time Pearson was the boss?

A Yes.

Q I ask you now this question: at that time do you know what was the condition of the health of Pearson, on January 4th 1947? Do

Shulkin

you know whether Pearson was in good health or sick?

A As far as I know, he never took time about his health.

Q It looked perfect?

A Yes.

Q 1030 St. Alexandre, was it visited by the police?

A Yes, January 4th.

Q Did the police make any seizure of telephone?

A They just took some papers.

Q Some of those essential papers?

A Yes, this stuff.

Q Your correspondence with Cadieux, and things like that, and documents connecting you with Cadieux?

A I don't know.

Q Documents of any kinds?

A Some papers, that is all.

Q Did you know Knish?

A Yes, just one.

Q Was one Knish working for Cadieux?

A Yes. There were three.

BY THE COURT:

Q Name them?

A Dave.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q You know his address?

A No. I think it is in St. Agathe.

Q Knish, is it Jack Knish?

A Yes, and Sim.

Q And Sim?

A Yes.

Q You know well Jack?

A No. He lived in Cote des Neiges.

Q And Sim?

A Sim is dead.

Q Do you know a fellow by the name of Norton working for the same combination?

A No.

Q Never heard about Norton?

A No.

Q Did you ever hear of Hector Payette?

A Yes.

Q In relation with what?

A He used to work for Cadieux.

Q Hector Payette?

A Just for a while.

Q Was he nicknamed Jos.?

Shulkin

A I just knew him as Payette.

Q Was he working for Cadieux or was he an associate?

A I don't know. I used to see him around there.

Q 10 Ontario?

A Yes.

Q Do you know if the same Payette was interested in gambling houses in Montreal?

A No.

Q In east end?

A Yes.

Q 1003 St. Catherine East?

A No.

Q You say that your business was disrupted from January 4th 1947 to March 1949? What happened in March 1949?

THE WITNESS:

What happened?

Q Yes? Did you go back into business?

A No, I was working for the Montreal Star.

Q I was asking how long your business was interrupted?

A From January 4th to March 1949.

Q You worked where?

A In the Montreal Star as an operator.

Q Since March 1949?

A Yes.

Q What have you been doing?

A Working at the Star.

Q You have been working at the Star?

A I was there three years.

Q How long, I ask you, how long was it interrupted after the raid of January 4th 1947?

A Until March 1949.

Q Was it resumed in March 1949?

A The paper came out in March 1949. You got me all mixed up with the dates.

BY THE COURT:

Q On January 4th 1947?

A Yes.

Q You stopped all operations?

A Yes, till March 1949.

Q Yourself what did you do after January 4th 1947?

A I was working in the Montreal Star.

Q And you have been working there ever since?

A Till March 1949.

Q So, from January 4th 1947 till March 1949
you worked at the Star?

A Yes.

Q On March 1949 did you leave the Star?

A Yes, I did.

Q What did you do?

A I went to the printing business.

Q The same printing business as you had be-
fore?

A Yes.

Q Same kind of paper?

A Yes.

Q Same kind of sheets?

A Yes.

Q Did you sell directly to the bookies?

A No, it was just a newspaper being printed.

Q Not the sheets?

A No.

Q What did you do with the newspaper?

A Sell it to the stands.

Q Directly?

A No.

Q Were you not selling to principals, not to a
man like Cadieux or Pearson?

Shulkin

- A You mean...
- Q In March 1949?
- A Yes.
- Q You resumed your printing business?
- A Right.
- Q And you printed your paper?
- A Right.
- Q The racing paper?
- A Yes.
- Q How did you dispose of it?
- A To newsstands.
- Q Were you doing business personally with newsstands?
- A Yes.
- Q You were?
- A Yes.
- Q You did not have an agent or any other man that was selling that paper?
- A I had a contract again.
- Q With whom?
- A Audet.
- Q Ludger Audet?
- A Yes.
- Q How long did that contract last?
- A From March till January 1948.
- Q 1950?

Shulkin

A Yes, right.

Q And then what happened to your paper in 1950?

A Well, they came in and stopped it.

Q Who stopped it?

A The police. January 1950.

Q And then what did you do yourself, when your printing business was stopped by the police?

A I went back to the Star.

Q Where you still are, I presume?

A No.

Q How long did you stay with the Star?

A Until January.

Q 1951?

A One year. Yes, 1951.

Q In January 1951?

A Yes.

Mr. GROSS:

Ceci est en dehors du litige. Ceci est en dehors de l'enquête.

BY THE COURT:

Très bien pour le moment.

Q From March 1949 until January 1950, you were doing business with Ludger Audet?

Shulkin

A Yes.

Q Was it approximately the same terms as with Pearson?

A Yes.

Q Did you get the same amount of money for your paper?

A \$200.00 a week.

Q Did you print your sheets too?

A No.

Q No sheets of any kind?

A No, just the paper.

Q Did you have another source of income?

A No.

Q None whatever?

A No.

Q Where was Audet's office?

A 65 St. Catherine West.

Q What kind of an office was it?

A There is a printing office there. They get a desk there with a phone.

Q What did you mean by a printing office?

A A printing shop is there. The office is there.

Q Your shop is there?

A Yes.

Q And Audet's office was there?

A Yes.

Q Did you pay any rent?

A Audet pays the rent.

Q You did not pay anything?

A No.

Q Did you have anyother employee?

A Yes, one.

Q Who was paying him?

A I am.

Q You say: you are.

(1951)
Up to January 1941, you were paying the phone
or anything else?

A No, Audet paid all bills.

Q Did you pay for the paper?

A No, he gives me \$200.00 to print the paper.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q You say you worked from January 1950 to January 1951 at the Montreal Star?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Did you work at the Star only when your paper was not being printed?

Shulkin

A Right.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you print any similar kind of paper?
I understand that at first it was the Montreal Sports Daily. You call it Sports Daily. Did you print any Sports Daily in December 1950?

THE WITNESS:

December 1950?

Q Yes?

Me BOISVERT:

Objection. Ceci est en dehors du litige.

PAR LA COUR:

Objection maintenue.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q You say that the police made a case. Your business was interrupted in printing that paper?

A Right.

Q Was a case made againsts you?

A No.

Q What happened?

Shulkin

A They came in and they made a case against the Company, the Universal News Co.

Q Who is that?

A Audet.

Q Do you know if this is the case to which Mr. Gross was referring?

A I did not hear him.

Q Do you know if the case is still pending?

A No, it is finished. It finished January 24th 1951.

Q What was the outcome?

Mr. BOISVERT:

Objection: il faut sortir le dossier.

BY THE COURT:

Q Do you know the outcome?

A Yes: acquitted. The Judge ruled to print it.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q When you resumed your operations in 1949 and you started to work for Audet...

A Yes.

Q Was it that time that they opened the premises at 65 St. Catherine?

Shulkin

A Yes.

Q Audet opened that?

A Yes.

Q So...

BY THE COURT:

Q Do you still have your printing equipment?

A Yes.

Q You still have that?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Do you know from what source Audet was getting the information that you were printing?

A I think: from the United States.

Q From the United States?

A Yes.

Q Did you go to Audet or if he came to you?

A He came to me.

Q Did you know him for a long time?

A Yes, I saw him around.

Q You saw him around?

A Yes, that is all.

Q Did you know what his business was?

Shulkin

A I knew.

Q You knew?

A Yes.

Q What was his business?

A Bookmaking.

Q Was he an important bookmaker?

A I don't know.

Q You said to the Judge that he had a business on St-Hubert?

A Yes.

Q Have you been there?

A No.

Q On St. Hubert you were not sure if the Judge was referring to Ludger Audet? You were not sure?

A No.

Q Why were you hesitant between St. Denis and St. Hubert?

A I knew only one, on St. Hubert.

A That was Audet?

A Yes.

Q Did you know Eloi Rivard?

A I have heard of him. I don't know.

Q Audet never mentioned Rivard as his associate?

Shulkin

A No.

Q Do you know if Audet, at the time you were doing business with him, if he had a branch of his business in Ville St. Laurent?

A No.

Q You never had occasion to phone him in St. Laurent?

A He always came down to the print shop or I called him at home.

Q He went there often to the print shop?

A Yes, sure.

Q Is it a big office or several offices?

A One office for the print shop and another office for Ludeger.

Q Where is the wire?

A In the print shop.

Q Who is the operator?

A Frank.

Q Who?

A Lefrançois.

Q Was Lefrançois working at 10 Ontario St. West?

A Yes.

Q Do you recall that Lefrançois was around at 10 Ontario St. West?

A

Shulkin

Q You remember that. Do you know where he worked besides working for Audet and at a time for Pearson?

A I think he worked for the railway.

Q The C.N.R.?

A I think so.

BY THE COURT:

Q In what capacity?

A Operator.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Telegraph operator?

A Yes.

Q So he is still in the business?

A Yes.

Q Was that prior to may 1950?

A Prior to May.

Q About 1950, about one year ago?

THE WITNESS:

About one year ago?

Q Yes?

A It was stopped in January 1950.

Q It was stopped in January 1950?

Shulkin

A Yes.

Q And then it resumed in March.

BY THE COURT:

Q It resumed in March 1949 until January 1950?

A Yes, right.

Q And in April or May 1950? Did you resume printing your paper?

A No, not in 1950. It was stopped in January 1950.

Q Yes?

A It was resumed in January 1951.

Q Will you look at this sheet and say if it is one of the sheets you printed?

A Not this. I never printed that.

Q Did you have any competition in town? While you were working with Caideux or Pearson?

A No, but I did not print that.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Are you sure that this was not ceased on St. Alexander St.? Are you sure?

Shulkin

A I cannot tell you. I don't think so.
If it was, I was not around. No, I did
not print it.

Q While Cadieux and later Pearson had serv-
ice, did they have any competition?

THE WITNESS:

In what?

Q In service, or if there was only one serv-
ice?

A I think they had competitors.

Q Could you mention anybody?

A I know they were stealing the stuff.

Q Would you swear that they were stealing
the stuff and selling it out?

THE WITNESS:

Would you call that competition?

Q Shiselers?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Do you know if there was another service
center like the one operated by Cadieux

And Pearson?

A No, I don't know.

Q Did you ever hear of another service center?

A No.

Q Did you know that there was none?

A No.

Q And you say there was not other service?

A The small...

Q Service with wire, with connections?

A It was Pearson and Cadieux.

Q They were the only ones?

A Yes, right.

Q Except for small chiselers?

A Yes, right.

Q There was no competition to Cadieux or Pearson?

A Right.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Would you say that they held the kingpin of the bookmakers?

A It looks like it.

Q The one who does the service is the big guy is that correct?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q Do you know if Harry Davies had any part in service?

A No.

Q You don't know?

A No.

Q Max Shapiro?

A The only thing I know: Pearson was living, and I was dealing with Pearson.

Q When Cadieux was alive it was the same thing also?

A Right.

Q When Ludger Audet came to you, what kind of a deal did he offer you? You had employment at the Star?

A- Yes.

Q What did he offer you to come and work for him?

A He offered a day job. I was working on the night shift for the Star. I had a day job.

Q Did you ask for any security? Did you ask him if it was a good job for the future?

A I could always go back to the Star.

Q Yes?

A I have a trade.

Shulkin

BY THE COURT:

Q Did he guarantee any special period of employment?

A He told me that the Judge had ruled the paper legal and he came to me and asked me to put the paper out.

Q Before the judgment was rendered?

A Yes.

Q Did Audet guarantee you that you were to be at his employ for a certain period of time?

A No, he did not guarantee anything. The only thing: it was a day job compared to the night job I had at the Star.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did Audet take you in his confidence about his connections to run something illegal?

A He told me to print a paper.

Q You did not hide?

A It is on Ste. Catherine St.

Q When you started working for Audet, how many newspapers did you print?

A About 400, 450.

Q Did you hike the price to .35?

A No, the same price since 1947.

Q The same price?

A Right.

Q Is it still .25?

A .35.

Q When was it hiked to .35?

A In 1947. Right.

Q When you were stopped, when the police was raiding in January 1947... it was in 1947?

A Yes.

Q In August 1946 it was...

A .25.

Q It was hiked in 1947?

A Yes.

By THE COURT:

Q Were you called in Audet's case?

THE WITNESS:

What case?

Q Audet's case?

A No.

Q You could have been useful.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q To whom did you sell this paper following this understanding with Audet?

A To the newstands.

Q Anybody else?

A No.

Q Nobody else?

A We had about 45 customers; newstands and stores.

Q You did not sell it to the bookmakers?

A No. Sold directly to the newstands and stores.

Q You swear?

A Yes.

Q You did not sell to 286 Ste. Catherine?

A No.

Q At 1444 Mansfield?

A You go and ask to the newstand Bleury and Ste. Catherine; he will tell you.

Q You did not sell any?

A No.

Q At 1455 Bleury, where Silverberg...

A We sell it at newstands.

Q The bookmakers get it there?

A At the newstand.

Q You are aware that bookmakers were operating?

THE WITNESS:

When was that?

Sjulin

Q When you started with Audet?

A 1947...

Q In March 1949, when you resumed with Audet?

BY THE COURT:

Do you know if any bookmaker were in operation openly in March 1949?

A No.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q What was the service for?

A That is only for newspaper. It came in, it got out at two o'clock.

Q Did you know that the paper was sealed and could be used or be useful only to persons who bet on horses?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Have you been at 65 Ste. Catherine West since March 1949?

A Right.

Q Has there been any service organization similar to the one on 10 Ontario St.?

A No.

Shulkin

Q Can you go around and walk around?

THE WITNESS:

Where?

Q At 65?

A Yes.

Q Have you ever seen any door locked or any part of the premises where you were not admitted?

A ...

Q At 65 was there any corner or part of the premises where you were not allowed to go?

A No.

Q Have you ever seen a service system similar to the one at 10 Ontario?

A No.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you have access into Audet's office at any time?

A It is open. Anybody can go there.

Q You don't think I could go there?

A You could.

Q Will you look at this sheet?

A Yes.

Q You know this sheet?

A Yes.

Q Did you print that?

A Yes.

Q What was that used for?

A That is a blank sheet.

Q Did you sell it in this form?

A Yes.

Q You sold it like that to bookmakers?

A Yes.

Q Was it used for horses?

A ...

Q That was part of the daily parcel? When did you print? When it got hot?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q What does it mean?

A It was not printed all the time, when it was hot.

Q What does that mean: when it got hot? I never worked in a service center. Could you explain it to me?

A When the books were closed or when they were

after them.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q When there was danger for bookies to be raided by the police, it was hot?

A Yes.

Q It was not safe for you to print the name of the horses?

A They asked me to stop it.

BY THE COURT:

Q What was the reason?

A The books were closed.

Q Were they afraid of a raid?

A That is it.

Q On the same day, did you distribute these blank sheets which will be filed as E-475? You did not distribute these blank sheets all over the city?

A No.

Q Only when there was danger? Every bookmaker would ask you for those blank sheets on a certain day?

A Yes.

Q You would give them?

A Yes.

Q At a certain time you were giving the

Shulkin

other form with the name of the horses?

A No. The other forms were not printed with the names of the horses.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q When it got hot, it got hot for the whole town?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q You said whenever you were asked for blank sheets, you would sell them?

A Right.

Q One or two?

A One, two or three. I sell 500 to 600 a year, that is all.

Q The other bookmakers would not ask for those blank sheets?

A No.

Q You were sending the desk sheets every day or every week to the bookmakers?

A Every day.

Q The same bookmakers the same day would ask you to send them blank sheets?

A No.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q You say that when it got hot, you say it was dangerous for them to operate?

A Right. Cadieux or Pearson would say to stop.

Q No more sheets?

A Yes. The ones who would ask for blank sheets would take a chance.

Q During the hot period?

A Yes.

Q For the whole town?

A Yes.

Q Do you know of several periods like that?

A There was a few.

BY THE COURT:

Q \$400.00 for sheets?

A No, 400 sheets.

Q A year?

A When there was a demand for them.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Do you know why Cadieux was doing that?

A He just told me to stop.

Q Did he explain what was going on?

A He told me to stop.

Q Do you recall that other period would coincide with change in government in the City Hall?

A No.

Q It is possible?

A It could be possible. There are different reasons for anything.

Q Would you look at this type of sheet. They come from the press shop?

A Yes.

Q That was used when it got hot?

A Yes.

Q Another hot sheet?

A Hot shot.

Q What do you call these?

A Big sheets or large sheets.

Q Would you file this copy, a big sheet, as exhibit E-476?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q This morning you said that you were selling big sheets also?

A Yes.

Q The sheet held by Mr. Plante, is that the big sheet?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q Was it used only when hot or all the time?

A When it was hot.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q When it was running smooth, did you print anything on those sheets?

A Yes.

Q The desk sheets?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q E-476 is a big sheet?

A Yes.

Q But a black big sheet?

A Yes.

Q Used when it was hot as a blank?

A Yes.

Q When it was not hot, there was some information printed on that same big sheet?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q You were printing big sheets?

A Yes.

Q In bigger characters?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q To hang on the wall?

A Yes.

Q Will you look at this sheet. That is apparently a big sheet?

A Yes.

Q Would you say it has been written during a hot period?

A Yes.

Q Will you produce this sheet as E-477?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q So, when it was hot, the big sheet was in black?

A Right.

Q In normal times, the big sheet contained some printed matter?

A Yes.

Q In normal times?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Names of horses?

A Just the name of horses.

Q Just the name of horses?

A Right.

BY THE COURT:

Q You are looking at E-477?

A Yes.

Q Is that sheet, the big sheet, at first glance, similar to the one which has been filled by the bookmaker?

A Yes.

Q You don't know where it came from?

A Yes.

Q It would be possible to mark the bookmakers' place?

A Yes.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Would you look at this other sheet?

A Yes.

Q You know it?

A Yes.

Q Was it printed at your shop?

A Yes.

Q What is it?

A That was for record, for bookmaker: one big, one small.

Q This was a record for your own use?

Shulkin

A That was for the man who was distributing the sheets all week, Mondays etc.

Q The name of the clients?

A Yes.

Q The days, the week?

A Yes.

Q You have the desk sheets?

A Yes.

Q And the big sheets?

A Yes.

Q Will you file this as the delivery man's record for the sheets as E-478?

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q What was the delivery man using for the delivery?

A A car.

Q An automobile?

A Right.

Q A truck or an automobile?

A An automobile.

Q He was delivering at the same places, I suppose?

A Yes.

Q Always going around the same places?

A Yes.

Shulkin

Q Easy to stop and see by any police officer?

A I was not there. I don't know.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q I see here certain names. I refer to E-478. Names which are not on E-474, for instance, Moquin. You know which Moquin was that?

A No.

Q Did you ever know of a Moquin as a business associate of Sam Cleaver?

A No.

Q You don't know Sam Cleaver?

THE WITNESS:

From the Esquire?

Q Yes?

A Yes.

Q He had a club?

A Did you say Claver or Cleaver or Clover?

Q You call him Clover?

A Yes.

Q Do you know Clover?

A Yes.

Q It is the same man at the Esquire?

Shulkin

A Yes.

BY THE COURT:

Q Is that the same man as Cleaver?

A I think so.

Q I know it is.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q So you never heard of an associate of Cleaver by the name of Moquin?

A No.

Q Do you know if Cleaver printed or distributed lottery tickets: Union 5 Way?

A No.

Q I see another name here, which does not appear on this first list of clients E-474. Metcalfe; that is the name of a man?

A That could be an old list. The man gave me a list. I printed that form to keep a record.

Q Would you say if there was a bookie at 1455 Metcalfe?

A No.

Q Did you know Sam Nudelman?

A No.

Q You did not know him?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q That is back of the Mount Royal Hotel?

A No.

Q It is not?

A I don't know.

Q Do you mean to say to you took so little interest just what was the source of your income?

A I was printing sheets. He asked me to print the list. I did it. I am not going to ask questions.

Q You were not in the police department?

A No. He asked me to print that. It took me ten minutes. I am not supposed to know what is it.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Bebe Dimuro?

A No.

Q On place Jacques Cartier?

A No.

Q Never heard?

A No.

Q Vic. Is that Vic Burns?

A I don't know.

Q Labelle. That is not his name. Shettner...

Is Labelle a Frenchman?

A No.

Q His name is what?

A Sheltner.

BY THE COURT:

You are talking about E-478.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Yes. I see here on E-478 another item
which does not appear on E-474: Cleaver West?

Did Sam Cleaver had two bookies?

A I don't know.

Q According to your own list you have Cleaver
Peel and Cleaver West?

A Greenberg asked me that and he took it.

Q In 1946 was Greenberg distributing the
sheets?

A No.

Q Who was?

A Belanger.

Q Who?

A Paul Belanger.

Q Do you know his address?

A No.

Q Do you remember being asked by myself
during Ship's trial:

Q- Who was distributing sheets in
1946?

A No.

Q Do you remember being asked that question
by me?

A No.

Q Did you not say...

Mr. GROSS:

Objection.

Mr. PLANTE:

Q Did you ever use a McGill student to
distribute your sheets?

A I know Greenberg. I have been dealing
all along...

Shulkin

Q You said since when had Greenberg been distributing the sheets?

A I think in 1947.

Q When did he start?

A I think 1946 or 1947. 1946, I think it was.

Q 1946?

A Yes.

Q Previously it was done by Paul Belanger?

A Yes.

Q Is that the son of Belanger Society Theater?

A Yes.

Q Did you know that Eddie Belanger was an associate of Eddie Baker?

A No.

Q Did you know Joseph Tremblay?

A No.

Q Never heard of him?

A No.

Q How long is it since you have seen Paul Belanger?

A Four, five months ago.

Q In Montreal?

A I saw him in the Gayety, four, five months ago.

Shulkin

Q You have here on E-478: Marky. Is that Marky Cohen?

A Yes, I think it is.

Q Gittleson, is that Alpy Gittleson?

A Yes.

Q Rabin? Is that Sam Rabin?

A I don't know him.

Q Silver, Jack Silver: is that the brother of Norman Silver?

A Yes.

Q The owner of Miss Montreal Restaurant?

A Yes.

Q Smith, who appears on E-478, is that Harry Smith?

A I don't know.

Q Yank? Who is that?

A I don't know.

Q Did you ever hear of Jules Sweetlove?

A No.

Q Singer. Did you know Singer Cooperman, 366 Mount Royal East?

A I heard his name.

Q As a bookie?

A I heard his name.

Shulkin

- Q As a bookie?
- A Yes.
- Q Who was Cookie?
- A I don't know.
- Q You don't know?
- A No.
- Q I see on this list telephone numbers?
- A Yes.
- Q There is another mention on E-478, for instance; Albert at 5817 Park Avenue, you have: TA. 4644, and you have a line, and you have Albert, second name: 1146 Mount Royal, CH. 8871. Bell. What is the difference between line and Bell?
- A I don't know.
- Q You never asked yourself the difference?
- A No.
- Q You have Rabino on E-478. Is not that Rabinovitch?
- A I don't know.
- Q Fosey?
- A I don't know.
- Q Herman?
- A I don't know.

- Q Martel? Was he Tit Rouge Martel?
- A I don't know.
- Q Nudie? That is Nudelman?
- A I don't know.
- Q You are not very curious?
- A I don't know.
- Q ~~BERCO?~~ Berco?
- A I don't know.
- Q Is he not Leo Bercoovitch?
- A I don't know.
- Q Would you look at this sheet and say whether you printed it? Whether you know it? Did you print that?
- A No.
- Q You know what it is?
- A It is for baseball: International League, National League, American League.
- Q Is it used for betting in baseball?
- A I don't know.
- Q Have you ever seen any similar sheets?
- A No.
- Q Never?
- A No;
- Q What is Audet's occupation besides service? Is there another occupation?

Shulkin

A I don't know.

Q Besides printing the racing sheets?

A No.

Q You don't know of any other occupation?

A No. The last I heard of him, he had some kind of a school trade plant.

Q Where is that?

A That was about four, five years ago.
A trade school.

Q Presently do you know of any other occupation?

A No.

BY THE COURT:

Q You have been in business with him for how long?

A Since January, not March 1949.

Q Did you go to his office every day?

A No.

Q How many times a week?

A Two times a week.

Q How long would you stay?

A Two hours.

Q You don't know of any other occupation that he would fulfill?

A No.

Shulkin

Q Do you know if he ever owned gambling places or betting places?

A All I know, it was under the name like Audet. I don't know if he owned it or not.

Q Was he working there?

A I never saw him there.

Q It bore his name?

A Yes.

Q It was for betting or gambling?

A Betting on horses.

Q And he was getting the service in those days?

A Yes.

Q He was getting the service in the name of Audet?

A Right.

Q Or Ludger?

A I don't know.

Q It was Ludger...

A That must be it.

Q Do you know if he is still interested in gambling or betting?

A No.

Q You don't know?

A No.

Shulkin

Q You swear that you don't know at all?

A Right.

Q By all means of knowledge or ways of knowledge, you don't know if Audet is still interested in betting or in gambling?

A That is right.

Q And that is the man for whom you work presently?

A Right.

Q In the last two years he never told you if he was doing anything else?

A Right.

Q He never told you what he does, during all the time that he does not spend in your office?

A No.

Q He never did?

A No.

Q He never talked about his activities?

A No.

Q And you never learned what he does, when he is not in your office?

A Right.

Q You are a model of discretion and he is one also.

Mr. PLANTE:

Shulkin

Q Did you ever hear of another Audet?

THE WITNESS:

Another Audet?

Q Yes? Connected with carb game? Corner of
boulevard St. Michel?

A No.

Q Never heard of that?

A No.

Q You heard about the barabette in Cote de
Liesse?

A Yes.

Q Do you know if Audet is interested there?

A No.

Q Max Shapiro?

A No.

Q Never heard of any one who is interested
in there?

A No.

Mr. BOISVERT CROSS-EXAMINES:

Q Do you know from whom Hector Cadieux or
Pearson received their information to fill
your printing?

A I suppose it is from Chicago.

Q Do you know how much they paid with the organization in Chicago?

A No, never made the reports.

Q You don't know?

A No.

Q Did they receive information also from Australia?

A No.

Q How is it that we can read in your paper E-472: "Australia's race tracks 1945..."

A That is just a news item of what happened in Australia.

Q Races were going on in Australia too?

A Yes, just a news item.

Q Is it not possible that Hector Cadieux and Pearson paid \$2,000.00 per week for an organization in Chicago to have the information?

A I could not tell you, but I think they have to give about 50% of their profits, whatever business they did.

Q To Chicago?

A Yes, that gave them the news.

Q Did they receive information also from Western Canada?

Shulkin

A I don't know.

Q You don't know?

A I don't know.

And further deponent said not.

I, undersigned, Official Stenographer,
declare that the above mentioned pages
are the exact transcription of my
stenographic notes.

A. Grandmaison

A, Grandmaison.

1951-29
Enquête Caumont

**Archives Municipales
de Montréal**

Si vous vous dépos-
sez de ce document
veuillez en prévenir
sans retard
L'ARCHIVISTE

If you give away this
document, please ad-
vise, without delay
the
ARCHIVIST