

(177)

Toronto 17 Dec 1841

My dear Sirs

I saw the article you allude to with much pain  
 and the second one in the last Examiner referring to your  
 letter in the "Times" with still greater pain - Yours affords  
 it striking opportunity which I should have been happy  
 to have seen him improve of saying something of  
 a healing tendency in reply - I am as you know  
 I have always been most unwilling to believe that  
 a complete break up is to take place in the party -  
 But if it must be so it cannot be helped - The  
 consequences will be most injurious, but I have  
 no doubt Providence will bring good out of it in  
 the end - At the same time I shall myself feel  
 deeply mortified - Not on my own account but  
 on that of my country -

I saw the Proclamation you speak of - No doubt it has  
 raised a swarm of expectants - They however cannot  
 all be gratified and while an administration having  
 well founded hold on public confidence may be strengthened  
 by the honest and judicious distribution of legitimate  
 patronage I am inclined to think that one having as  
 Mr Buchanan says "no character at all" and which  
 instead of being able to make use of its patronage as a source  
 of additional strength has thrown upon it as its only or  
chief support will find the time arrive when the exercise  
 of that very patronage will prove no unimportant

L H La Fontaine Esq  
 M.P.P. Q.C.

agent in bringing about its ruin - True will then  
be all with us looking for the appointments of wardens  
with anxiety. It will be found I am persuaded that these  
appointments will be in a great measure from among  
those who have lately joined the ranks of Reform or  
who have but lately come to the country - In Hastings  
they have appointed Mr Hutton - It is true he was a  
supporter of mine at the last election but he has been here  
5 or 6 years in the Country and has no influence which would  
point him out as peculiarly fit for being thus selected -  
at the same time I dare say he will make a good warden.  
Dr Widmer has been offered the appointment for this  
Dist. And has it is said declined - He belongs to the second  
class of persons whom I have mentioned - Mr Powell of  
Norfolk it is said has been appointed for that Dist. He  
was returned as a Reformer but having supported the  
Coalition is thus rewarded for that support - In this  
there is probably nothing but what is to be expected - It  
is those of course who approve of an administration who  
will be employed by that administration -

Although I am not aware of the manner in which the  
changes in your judicial system works injuriously I can  
easily understand what confusion may be introduced  
by persons familiar with one system of law undertaking to  
"reform" another - particularly if they be imbued not  
only with a strong prejudice in favour of their own and  
against the opposite system but happen to entertain a  
particularly exalted opinion of their own ability for such  
work - And such if I understand right has been your  
misfortune in respect to the changes you refer to - I  
should I confess feel great alarm were I to understand that  
a body of civilians were about altering the machinery of

an system with a view to adapt it to that of the Civil  
Law - And that without ~~in the least~~ undervaluing in  
the least that celebrated body of law or without even  
entering upon the question of its merits as compared  
with the English Common Law - But the two systems  
founded originally upon different principles require  
different machinery for making them respectively  
effective for the accomplishment of their common  
object - And this I fear has been too much overlooked -

We have not yet received the parcel you were good  
enough to send by W Dunn - he has not been at Toronto  
since his return to Kyneton and is I suppose keeping  
it for some safe opportunity - I am  
sorry to say he met with an accident  
in his way up but by the last accounts  
he was better - Please excuse me in  
the mean time to thank you and  
M<sup>r</sup> Le Fontaine for the trouble you have taken on  
her account -

The Statutes come to a good deal but as there is no alter-  
native I must submit to the consequences of the  
monopoly of the Maj<sup>tys</sup> Printer - An unexpected  
blow of the new advertising appears to be that we have  
got such a batch of them now that there may be some  
hope of competition among the printers themselves -  
though I shall not benefit by it on the present occasion - If  
you will therefore be good enough to get the copy you speak  
of including the "Edits &c" & have them packed up and  
left at B Hart & Co's (to be forwarded (if possible during  
the winter) by some safe opportunity to Toronto I shall  
be obliged to you and when I know the amount in-  
cluding packing &c &c I will send you a bill for the  
same or you may draw on me at sight whichever  
you please -

I shall be happy to attend to the substitution of

the journals for the next riding as you desire —  
With best respects to Mr<sup>r</sup> Le Fantaine in which my  
father mother & all our house join  
Please me  
very truly  
Yours R. Baldwin

10  
Dr. J. H. de Montmorency  
Montreal



12 Dec: 1841  
R. Baldwin,  
Toronto,

Dist. No